This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washingt n, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/921,533 09/02/		97 TORMALA	P	2880/27
		HM12/0903 7	·	EXAMINER
KENYON & KENYON			CHANNAVAJJALA, L	
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20		; ;	161	5
			DATE MAILED:	09/03/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

1- File Copy

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/921,533

Applicam(s)

Examiner

Lakshmi Channavajjala

Group Art Unit

1615

Tormala et al

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 10, 1999			
☑ This action is FINAL .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)	•		
☐ Claims			
Application Papers	·		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority to	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been		
received.			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num			
received in this national stage application from the			
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority			
	y under 35 0.3.C. § 115(e).		
Attachment(s)			
☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	nie) 5		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	N3)		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	HF FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 08/921,533 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of Information Disclosure Statement dated 6-4-99, request for extension of time and

amendment A, both dated 6-10-99 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-22 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are moot 1.

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Rejection of the above claims made in the previous

office action has been canceled.

2. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards

as the invention.

Claims recite "polymeric component being distinguishable from the composite material as a

whole". It is the understanding of the examiner that the claims require a polymeric matrix having

two reinforcing elements, one being a polymer and the other a bioceramic glass. However, it is

unclear to the examiner that as to the how one of the elements in the above matrix can be

distinguishable from the other, when both the elements together form a matrix. A clarification is

requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 08/921,533 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-6 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent No. 5084051 to Tormala et al (hereafter '051).

'051 teaches surgical biocomposite material suitable for bone surgical applications comprising a polymeric reinforcing element and bioceramic element, wherein the polymeric reinforcing element could be a mixture of polymers or polymer and porous or non porous bioceramic material (abstract, lines bridging cols. 1 and 2; col. 3, lines 14-53; col. 4, lines 19-68, col 5-6, col. 8, lines 61-68, col. 9-10). The reinforcing polymer is in the form of reinforcing fibers resulting composite has good mechanical strength and integrity and is easy to handle. '051 teaches the various methods of manufacturing the composite as claimed in the instant invention and the addition of additives which facilitate bone growth and /or antibiotics in the composite material.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1615

6. Claims 1-8 and 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent No. 5,084,051 to Tormala et al (hereafter '051).

'051 discussed above, reinforcing polymer components. Although the patent teaches layered reinforcing elements, the instant claims as such does not reflect that the reinforcing elements are not layered. Thus, the instant claims are within the scope of '051. Further, it is the position of the examiner that optimizing the thickness of the fibers of reinforcing polymer as well as the size of the bioceramic particles is well within the scope of ordinary skill in the art, such that the composite allows for the in growth of the bone and fibers impart good mechanical strength to the composite.

7. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent No. 5,084,051 to Tormala et al (hereafter '051) as applied to claims 1-8 and 11-22 above, and further in view of Bonfield et al.

Tormala discussed above does not teach the volume fraction of bioceramic as claimed in the instant invention. Bonfield et al. teaches bone composites containing hydroxyapatite and polyethylene composites of 0.3 to 0.5 volume fraction, which imparts fracture toughness to the composite. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one of a skilled artisan to machine the bone composites having a volume fraction which increases the toughness and strength of the composite and have comparable mechanical properties with that of the bone.

Application/Control Number: 08/921,533

Art Unit: 1615

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

No claims are allowed.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is (703) 308-2438. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax number for this Group is

(703) 305-3592.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 08/921,533

Art Unit: 1615

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. § 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant ans should be addressed to [thurman.page@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of confidentiality requirements of U.S.C. § 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703-308-1235).

Lakshmi S. Channavajjala

08/31/99

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 6